			Steven D. Grid CLERK OF TH			
1	СОМРВ		Oten	p, Frum		
2	ROBERT T. EGLET, ESQ.	ROBERT	J. KRINER, JR., ESQ. (Pro	Hac Pending)		
	ROBERT M. ADAMS, ESQ.		I. TUCKER, ESQ. (<i>Pro Had</i>	c Pending)		
3	ERICA D. ENTSMINGER, ESQ.		LES & TIKELLIS LLP			
4	EGLET PRINCE	222 Delaware Avenue Suite 1100				
	400 South Seventh Street, Suite 400 Las Vegas, NV 89101	P.O. Box 1035				
5	Telephone: (702) 450-5400	Wilmington, DE 19899				
6	Facsimile: (702) 450-5451	_	2-656-2500			
7	eservice@egletlaw.com	Fax: 302-				
/		•	icles.com			
8	NICHOLAS E. CHIMICLES, ESQ.	smt(a)chii	nicles.com			
9	(Pro Hac Pending) CHIMICLES & TIKELLIS LLP					
9	361 West Lancaster Avenue					
10	One Haverford Centre					
11	Haverford, PA 19041					
	Phone: 610-642-8500					
12	nec@chimicles.com					
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
14	DISTRICT COURT					
			, NEVADA			
15						
16	NORFOLK COUNTY RETIREMENT					
1.7	SYSTEM, derivatively on behalf of WYN RESORTS LIMITED,	IIN)	CASE NO. A-18-7690	62-B		
17	RESORTS ENVITED,		DEPT. NO.			
18	Plaintiffs,		DEP1. NO. Department	27		
19	v.					
			(Exemption From Arbitra	tion Requested		
20	RAY R. IRANI, JAY L. JOHNSON, ROE	, ,	Damages Exceed \$50,000	1		
21	MILLER, PATRICIA MULROY, CLARI		,			
22	RANDT, JR., ALVIN V. SHOEMAKER,	/	Request for Business Co	net		
22	EDWARD VIRTUE AND D. BOONE		Assignment Pursuant to			
23	WAYSON, KIMMARIE SINATRA		EDCR 1.61 (a)			
24	Defendants					
	Defendants,		VERIFIED STOCKHO	LDER		
25	And		DERIVATIVE COMPL			
26						
27	WYNN RESORTS, LIMITED,					
	N. ID.)				
28	Nominal Defen	naant				

Electronically Filed 2/6/2018 4:20 PM

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

NATURE OF THE ACTION

This action asserts derivative claims on behalf of Wynn Resorts Limited ("Wynn Resorts" or "Company") to redress the injury and losses sustained by the Company as a result of egregious breaches of fiduciary duty, abuses of fiduciary power and violations of law involving the Company committed by the Company's founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Stephen A. Wynn ("Mr. Wynn"), breaches of fiduciary duty by the Company's Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, Kimmarie Sinatra ("Sinatra"), and breaches of fiduciary duty by the Company's Board of Directors. As alleged more particularly herein, Mr. Wynn engaged in a pervasive pattern of egregious misconduct involving the Company in his positions of leadership, and the Company's General Counsel and Board of Directors turned a blind eye and continued to: endorse Mr. Wynn's leadership of the Company and Mr. Wynn's continued positions as director, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and suitability as a gaming operator; pay extraordinary lavish compensation and benefits to Mr. Wynn; assure the stockholders that the Company's risk, compliance and governance controls were extensive and effective to detect, prevent and remedy such misconduct, in seeking votes in favor of their continuation as directors; and expose the Company to existential jeopardy in its business and licensing, which are fundamentally important to the Company's existence and value. Mr. Wynn's reported decades of sustained egregious misconduct involving the Company in his position and the Board's and General Counsel's intentional disregard of the misconduct, as alleged herein, has caused substantial losses and injury to the Company, the value of the business and expansion projects and the continued operation and licensure of the Company's core business. The actions and inactions by Defendants alleged herein constitute knowing and intentional breaches of their fiduciary duties as Directors and/or Officers of the Company and involved intentional misconduct, fraud or a knowing violation of law, for which Defendants are

liable personally to the Company. Further, the compensation and benefits paid to Mr. Wynn and the directors during their fiduciary misconduct unjustly enriched them at the expense of the Company.

JURISDICTION

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over all causes of action asserted herein pursuant to the Constitution of the State of Nevada. This Court has jurisdiction over each Defendant named herein because each Defendant is a Corporation or an individual who has sufficient minimum contacts with Nevada to render the exercise of jurisdiction by Nevada courts permissible under traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. Wynn Resorts is a public corporation incorporated under the laws of Nevada, and Defendants are members of the Wynn Resorts Board of Directors that have harmed Wynn Resorts.
- 2. The Eighth Judicial District's Business Court is the proper forum because this Action involves significant issues of Nevada corporate law.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Norfolk County Retirement System ("Plaintiff") is an employee retirement plan located in Massachusetts and has been a stockholder of Wynn Resorts continuously at the time of fiduciary wrongdoing and breaches underlying the claims alleged herein, and will continue to hold Wynn Resorts shares at all time relevant to this action.
- 4. Nominal Defendant Wynn Resorts, Limited is a Nevada corporation, with its principal offices located in Las Vegas, Nevada. Wynn Resorts owns and operates Wynn Las Vegas and Encore in Las Vegas, Nevada as well as Wynn Macau and the Wynn Palace located in the Special Administrative Region of Macau in the People's Republic of China. A luxury retail Strip-front expansion, Wynn Plaza, is currently under construction in Las Vegas and is scheduled to debut the third quarter of 2018. Wynn Resorts is currently constructing Wynn

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Boston Harbor located in Everett, Massachusetts. As alleged herein, the Massachusetts gaming authorities are investigating the Company's and Mr. Wynn's suitability as a gaming operator for this property. The Company is publicly traded on the NASDAQ exchange under the ticker symbol WYNN.

- 5. Defendant Stephen A. Wynn is a citizen of Nevada and the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Wynn Resorts. Mr. Wynn co-founded Wynn Resorts in April 2000 with his wife Elaine Wynn, and took it public in 2002. He has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since June 2002. Mr. Wynn has been an Executive Director, the Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of Wynn Macau, Limited, a majority owned subsidiary of the Company, since September 2009 and President of Wynn Macau, Limited until January 2014. Mr. Wynn has also served as Director, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Wynn Resorts (Macau) S.A. since October 2001. Mr. Wynn serves as an officer and/or director of several subsidiaries of Wynn Resorts, Limited. During his time as Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of Mirage Resorts, Mr. Wynn developed, opened and operated The Mirage, Treasure Island and Bellagio in 1989, 1993 and 1998, respectively. Mr. Wynn holds approximately 11.8% of the common stock of the Company. The Company admits that Mr. Wynn is not independent. Upon information and belief, and at all times relevant herein, Mr. Wynn is a resident of Clark County, Nevada.
- 6. Defendant John J. Hagenbuch ("Hagenbuch") is a citizen of Idaho and has served as a director of the Company since December 2012. Hagenbuch serves as the Chairman of the Audit Committee and as a member of the Compensation Committee.
- 7. Defendant Dr. Ray R. Irani ("Irani") is a citizen of California and has served as a director of the Company since October 2007. Irani serves as a member of the Corporate Governance Committee.

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 8. Defendant Jay L. Johnson ("Johnson") is a citizen of Idaho and has served as a director of the Company since August 2016. Johnson serves as a member of the Compensation Committee.
- 9. Defendant Robert J. Miller ("Miller") is a citizen of Nevada and has served as a director of the Company since October 2002. Miller serves as the Company's Lead Independent Director, Chairman of the Corporate Governance Committee and as a member of the Audit Committee. Miller is also the Chairman of the Company's Compliance Committee and serves as the Company's Compliance Director. On February 27, 2014, the Board acted to combine these roles under the Chairman of the Company's Compliance Committee. Upon information and belief, and at all times relevant herein, Mr. Miller is a resident of Clark County, Nevada.
- 10. Defendant Patricia Mulroy ("Mulroy") is a citizen of Nevada and has served as a director of the Company since October 2015. Mulroy serves as a member of the Corporate Governance Committee and also serves as a member of the Company's Compliance Committee. From July 2014 through October 2015, Ms. Mulroy served on the Nevada Gaming Commission. Upon information and belief, and at all times relevant herein, Ms. Mulroy is a resident of Clark County, Nevada.
- 11. Defendant Clark T. Randt, Jr. ("Randt") is a citizen of Utah and has served as a director of the Company since October 2015. Randt received a \$600,000 consulting agreement in 2015 before his appointment to the Board. The Company admits that Defendant Randt is not independent.
- 12. Defendant Alvin V. Shoemaker ("Shoemaker") is a citizen of Idaho and has served as a director of the Company since December 2002. Shoemaker serves as a member of the Compensation Committee and as a member of the Audit Committee.

J し	
JLE	
_	

- 13. Defendant J. Edward Virtue ("Virtue") is a citizen of Florida and has served as a director of the Company since November 2012. Virtue serves as Chairman of the Compensation Committee and as a member of the Corporate Governance Committee. Virtue managed the Wynn family money prior to his appointment in 2012.
- 14. Defendant D. Boone Wayson ("Wayson") is a citizen of Maryland and has served as a director of the Company since August 2003. Wayson serves as a member of the Audit Committee and as a member of the Corporate Governance Committee.
- 15. Defendant Kimmarie Sinatra is a citizen of Nevada and has served as the Company's Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary since March 2006. Sinatra also serves as a director for Wynn Macau, Limited.
- 16. All Defendants listed in ¶¶ 5-15 are collectively referred to herein as "Defendants."
- 17. Mr. Wynn, Hagenbuch, Irani, Johnson, Miller, Mulroy, Randt, Shoemaker, Virtue and Wayson are collectively referred to herein as "Director Defendants."

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

Company Background

- 18. Wynn Resorts made its initial public offering on the NASDAQ on October 25, 2002. At the time of the initial public offering, the Company was not planning to open its first casino until 2005. In the meantime, the Company would generate a small amount of revenue. Essentially, Mr. Wynn was asking the public to act as the Company's bank. Mr. Wynn was able to do this due to his reputation in the business as the former owner of the Mirage, Treasure Island and Bellagio.
- 19. The Company's first project, Wynn Las Vegas, opened on April 28, 2005. Wynn Macau, the Company's second project started construction on June 28, 2004. It opened

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

September 5, 2006 and is now the largest-grossing casino in the region. Encore, an extension to Wynn Las Vegas, broke ground on April 28, 2006. Encore at Wynn Macau, the company's second project on the Macau Peninsula, Macau, People's Republic of China, opened on April 21, 2010. A second resort in Macau, Wynn Palace on the Cotai Strip, opened August 22, 2016.

- 20. Mr. Wynn's 11.8% of the stock of the Company had a market value of approximately \$2.4 billion as of January 25, 2018. Mr. Wynn is considered by some as integral to the Company's success. Mr. Wynn is so ingrained into the identity of the Company that his signature is the Company's logo. In a recent securities filing citing possible risks to the business, the Company said, "If we lose the services of Mr. Wynn, or he is unable to devote sufficient attention to our operation for any reason, our business may be significantly impaired."
- 21. In January 2010, Mr. Wynn and his wife of 46 years, Elaine Wynn, divorced. Following the divorce, Elaine Wynn received approximately 9% of the Company stock in the divorce agreement. The divorce agreement placed certain restrictions on both Wynns from selling any shares of the company without the other's consent and required them to vote in concert. In 2012 Elaine Wynn sued Mr. Wynn in federal court to alter their arrangement and give her the power to sell her shares at will, Wynn Resorts Ltd v Okada et al, Nevada District Court, Clark County, No. A-12-656710-B.
- 22. In response to Ms. Wynn's lawsuit, despite having co-founded Wynn Resorts and serving as a Board member for 12 years, the Wynn Resorts directors sided with Mr. Wynn, and ousted Ms. Wynn from the Company's Board in March 2015. According to the New York Times, Defendant Miller reported that the Board found Elaine Wynn's continuing lawsuit and apparent desire to sell made her unsuitable for Board service because they showed her to be acting out of self-interest and not the Company's interest. Ms. Wynn stated that she was ousted from the Board for asking too many questions about the Company's governance and losing Mr.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Wynn's favor. Elaine Wynn launched a Proxy fight in an effort to have Company shareholders vote her into an open Board seat, but lost that fight on April 24, 2015.

The Board Has a History of Acquiescing to Mr. Wynn

- 23. Five of the ten Board members have sat on the Board for more than 10 years.
- 24. The Board members serve staggered terms, meaning a minority of board members come up for re-election each year, insulating the board from being ousted during a single proxy fight.
- 25. In a court filing in May 2017, Elaine Wynn said, "The Wynn Board may be the most compliant board of any major public company... In only three instances in the history of the Company has a director voted against Mr. Wynn's position on any issue."
- 26. The Board has previously come under fire for its weak corporate governance and deference to Mr. Wynn. Specifically, the Board has been criticized for overpaying Mr. Wynn and other executives while allowing perks such as corporate jets and a land deal between the Company and Wynn. In 2013, the New York Times reported that Mr. Wynn had enjoyed more than a million dollars' worth of personal travel in 2012 on the Company's private jet.
- 27. In 2013, the Company allowed Mr. Wynn to purchase one of the Company's aircraft in exchange for giving up an option to buy 2 acres of land on Wynn Resorts' golf course.
- 28. In 2014, a Company spokesperson said the Board "significantly restructured" Wynn's compensation, reducing his base salary and curtailing some benefits such as a housing allowance and access to corporate aircraft for personal use.
- 29. Still, in 2015 and 2016, Institutional Shareholder Services, Inc. ("ISS") recommended withholding votes to re-elect members of the Board's Compensation Committee. ISS cited Mr. Wynn's sizable pay packages compared with other CEOs and a severance agreement equating to \$330 million that "exceeds the upper parameter of acceptable amounts,"

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

according to a report from ISS last year. Glass Lewis & Co, another advisory firm, also recommended that shareholders vote against the Company's compensation package, citing "poor overall design" and "performance disconnect." In fact, Glass Lewis gave the Company an "F" for its pay-for-performance practices for the last two years.

- 30. The Company also leases Mr. Wynn's personal art for \$1 a year, while picking up the cost of insurance, security and taxes.
- 31. In February 2018 a Nevada District Court Judge allowed claims against certain members of the Board based on their 2012 decision to remove Kazou Okada ("Okada") from the Board and redeem his shares to go forward. Wynn Resorts v. Okada, A-12-656710-B, Clark County District Court, Nevada (Las Vegas). Mr. Wynn and Okada have been feuding for six years, since Mr. Wynn pushed Okada out of Wynn Resorts, alleging he bribed Philippine gaming officials. Okada has presented evidence that several directors intended to oust him from the Company months before an investigation into Okada's alleged misconduct was concluded. Defendants Wynn, Wayson, Sinatra, Shoemaker, Miller and Irani are all Plaintiff-Counter-Defendants in the suit which was originally brought by Wynn Resorts against Okada in February 2012.
 - 32. In 2017, ISS gave Wynn Resorts its worst ranking for governance risk.
- 33. Vanguard Group and Blackrock Inc. also point to a lack of current corporate experience on the board. In addition to Defendant Mulroy, a former head of a regional water authority, the Wynn Resorts Board includes a former Nevada Governor, a former U.S. ambassador to China, the retired heads of three big businesses and three heads of smaller firms. Defendant Miller, the lead independent director, has never worked for a business, according to his Wynn Resorts biography.

34. The Wynn Resorts poor governance practices have also come under scrutiny from large investors. BlackRock Inc., which owns nearly 5% of the Company's stock, voted against the Company's pay package in 2017 and opposed reelection of certain directors. Vanguard, owner of 8% of the Company's stock, has also voted against the Company's pay practices and the reelection of certain directors, including Defendant Miller, who is also chairman of the Board's corporate-governance committee.

35. For the fiscal years ending December 31, 2009 through December 31, 2016, the Defendants have been paid the following compensation from the Company:

	Total Compensation								
Name	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Total
Wynn	\$8,385,831	\$14,615,779	\$16,474,707	\$17,743,434	\$19,601,381	\$25,396,896	\$20,680,391	\$28,156,985	\$151,055,404
Sinatra	\$10,450,253	\$2,127,440	\$2,137,076	\$2,212,698	\$2,580,881	\$4,325,954	\$2,339,156	\$2,638,740	\$28,812,198
Hagenbuch			-	\$377,186	\$367,870	\$374,029	\$384,561	\$400,973	\$1,904,619
Irani	\$377,500	\$393,637	\$324,912	\$405,459	\$369,758	\$360,529	\$366,811	\$362,406	\$2,961,012
Johnson			-					\$380,935	\$380,935
Miller	\$435,500	\$468,887	\$378,412	\$458,917	\$458,064	\$506,029	\$507,811	\$517,973	\$3,731,593
Mulroy	- 2	- 2					\$245,275	\$372,302	\$617,577
Randt	28	20	20	9	9	20	\$237,243	\$327,302	\$564,545
Shoemaker	\$393,000	\$421,637	\$329,412	\$402,959	\$376,064	\$372,529	\$375,811	\$384,473	\$3,055,885
Virtue		25	20 (\$414,630	\$370,870	\$372,529	\$378,811	\$384,473	\$1,921,313
Wayson	\$403,000	\$433,637	\$342,912	\$420,959	\$392,564	\$389,029	\$382,061	\$384,473	\$3,148,635

Mr. Wynn's Alleged Pervasive Pattern of Egregious Misconduct Involving the Company

- 36. On January 26, 2018, the Wall Street Journal published a report ("WSJ Report") setting forth accounts of former employees of Mr. Wynn describing a decades long pattern of sexual misconduct with Company employees by Mr. Wynn. The Wall Street Journal reportedly contacted more than 150 people who work or had worked with Mr. Wynn. Most of those who spoke with the Journal stated they worried that doing so could hurt their future employment options because of Mr. Wynn's influence in the casino industry.
- 37. Among other allegations, the WSJ Report stated that in 2005, a manicurist who worked at Wynn Las Vegas was forced by Mr. Wynn to have sex with Mr. Wynn after giving him a manicure in his office suite. According to the WSJ Report, Mr. Wynn repeatedly pressured

the manicurist to take her clothes off and lie on a massage table, despite the manicurist's protests that she did not want to have sex and was married, and Mr. Wynn persisted in his demands.

- 38. According to the WSJ Report, following the encounter, the manicurist returned to the on-site salon visibly distressed and informed her supervisor of what occurred, and the supervisor filed a detailed report to the Company's human-resources department recounting the episode. The salon manager said she got a call from an executive, Doreen Whennen, castigating her for submitting the filing to HR and saying she should have taken the matter directly to Ms. Whennen. The former manager said no one followed up with her about the matter. The manicurist soon left the Company and Wynn ultimately paid her a \$7.5 million settlement. The Las Vegas Review-Journal reported that the \$7.5 million settlement involved a paternity claim by the manicurist.
- 39. The Las Vegas Review-Journal reported that Wynn paid the settlement from his personal funds and deliberately kept it secret so it would not distract from the recent opening of the Wynn Las Vegas and the impending opening of Wynn Macau.
- 40. Allegations of such egregious fiduciary misconduct by the Company's Chairman and CEO involving the Company could not be ignored without an investigation by fiduciaries acting in good faith based on any proper rational business purpose. The Wynn Board knowingly failed to investigate the credible allegations and continued to support Mr. Wynn's positions of leadership, compensation and suitability as a gaming operator. The Las Vegas Review-Journal also reported that Elaine Wynn learned of the incident in 2009 while preparing documents for her divorce filing. Elaine Wynn then spoke with two company officials who already had knowledge of the allegations and shared the information with about the conversations with her divorce lawyers. Likewise, the WSJ Report stated that Elaine Wynn raised the issue internally when she learned of it. A CNBC article reported that Elaine Wynn brought it to the attention of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

a representative of the Board immediately after learning about it. Ms. Wynn herself was a member of the Board in 2009.

41. In addition, the allegations of egregious misconduct by Mr. Wynn involving the Company were referenced in the lawsuit in which Elaine Wynn sought to lift restrictions on the sale of her stock in Wynn Resorts. In the lawsuit between the Wynns, Ms. Wynn cited a "multimillion dollar payment" made by Mr. Wynn following allegations he had engaged in "serious misconduct" on company property against an employee. A filing said Ms. Wynn had learned of the settlement in 2009. The amended complaint in the litigation alleges that Sinatra, the Company's General Counsel, knew about the settlement. Elaine Wynn's attorneys have argued that in making the settlement in 2005 without telling the Board, Mr. Wynn recklessly exposed the Company and other directors to liability. The Board, however, eventually learned of the allegations of egregious misconduct involving the Company. Ms. Wynn's lawsuit also accuses Mr. Wynn of using the Company "to fund his lavish lifestyle and personal politics" and displaying "reckless risk-taking behavior" that places the Company in jeopardy and has exposed it to legal challenges. Thus, regardless of whether Mr. Wynn initially concealed the settlement and allegations of egregious misconduct involving the Company, the Board knew of the settlement and allegations of patently egregious misconduct involving the Company by at least 2015 and failed to act and continued to support and recommend to the stockholders Mr. Wynn's continued leadership and compensation. The Board knowingly failed to investigate the allegations of patently egregious misconduct by the Chairman and CEO and Mr. Wynn's suitability for his fiduciary positions and regulatory compliance and his suitability as a gaming operator. Knowing failure to act by the Board on the allegations of such egregious misconduct involving the Company constituted a knowing and intentional violation of its fiduciary duties to the Company for which the Director Defendants are liable.

- 42. The Wall Street Journal reportedly interviewed dozens of people who have worked at Wynn's casinos and described a pattern of sexual misconduct. They told of "behavior that cumulatively would amount to a decades-long pattern of sexual misconduct" by Mr. Wynn. The WSJ Report states that some of the interviewees described Mr. Wynn as pressuring employees to perform sex acts. The former employees said that their awareness of Mr. Wynn's power in Las Vegas, combined with the knowledge that the jobs they held were amount the best-paying available there, added up to a feeling of dependence and intimidation when Mr. Wynn made requests of them.
- 43. Former employees reported that they have resorted to entering fake appointments in the books to help other female workers get around a request for services in Mr. Wynn's office or arranged for others to pose as assistants so they wouldn't be alone with him. They told of female employees hiding in the bathroom or back rooms when they learned he was on the way to the salon. "Everybody was petrified," said Jorgen Nielsen, a former artistic director at the salon. Mr. Nielsen said he and others repeatedly told high-level company executives Mr. Wynn's sexual advances were causing a problem, but "nobody was there to help us."
- 44. One former massage therapist at the Wynn Las Vegas spa said that several years ago, when Mr. Wynn was booking multiple appointments a week with her in the private massage room in his office suite, he would continually adjust a towel to expose himself. Then at one session, she said, he threw it off and said, "Just get this thing off of me." She said he wouldn't let her use a towel to cover his genitals after that, contrary to state licensing regulations, and he also began rubbing her leg while she massaged him. After a few weeks, the former employee repeatedly said Mr. Wynn instructed her to massage his penis to climax. The woman said that because he was her boss, she felt she had no choice but to agree to some of Mr. Wynn's requests, and this specific request became a frequent part of the massage sessions for several months. In

subsequent sessions, the woman said, Mr. Wynn asked her to perform oral sex on him and described in detail how he wanted it done, which she refused.

- 45. Several former employees have also reported that Mr. Wynn often walked around some areas of the complex in extremely short shorts without underwear, and he would sit in the salon to get pedicures in such a way that his genitals were exposed. One former employee reported that Mr. Wynn asked if he could kiss her. The former employee laughed off the request, hoping to leave without upsetting him. However, on a subsequent occasion, which she was working in the casino, Mr. Wynn asked the former employee a vulgar question. She said that she again laughed off the proposition. Mr. Wynn continued the inappropriate actions rubbing himself in front of the former employee and making comments about things he would like to do with her sexually, and grabbing her by the waist and telling her to kiss him. After further pursuit, this woman reportedly said, Mr. Wynn stopped. The former employee's supervisor and another colleague confirmed being told of these advances in detail at the time. The employee and the supervisor said they sought to manage the situation rather than report it because they believed there would be repercussions if they did.
- 46. The WSJ Report also uncovered evidence of harassment by Mr. Wynn dating back decades at his former companies. Dennis Gomes, who was an executive at the Golden Nugget in Las Vegas when Mr. Wynn was running that casino decades ago, said in a deposition in an early-1990s lawsuit that Mr. Gomes "routinely received complaints from various department heads regarding Mr. Wynn's chronic sexual harassment of female employees," according to a court filing that summarized his testimony. In the suit over Mr. Gomes's departure to work for a Trump casino, Mr. Gomes described what he called a "disgraceful pattern of personal and professional conduct" that he said included Mr. Wynn's directing him to get the home phone numbers of casino cocktail waitresses. Mr. Gomes died in 2012. His widow,

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

Barbara Gomes, in an interview for the WSJ Report, said, "I remember him saying, 'I'm not his pimp,' " referring to Mr. Wynn.

The Board's Intentional Inaction Regarding Allegations of Patently Egregious Misconduct Involving the Company

47. Mr. Wynn's conduct and repeated pattern of sexual harassment was pervasive and well known. As alleged above, the Board of Directors knew the allegations of egregious misconduct as a result of Elaine Wynn, then a Company Board member, learning of the misconduct in 2009 and alerting a representative of the Board regarding the 2005 \$7.5 million settlement. In addition, Mr. Wynn's conduct was referenced in the lawsuit filed by Elaine Wynn that the Board cited as the reason for removing her from the Board. Moreover, numerous former employees have stated that they reported the sexual misconduct to management of Wynn Resorts. In fact, a Company spokesman confirmed that the Board knew of allegations and when questioned whether the Board investigated the allegations when it learned of them, did not respond to the question. In addition, Company counsel stated that the Company intentionally did not disclose the \$7.5 million settlement to Massachusetts gaming regulators in the licensing process which is continuing for the Wynn Boston Harbor. The Board knowingly turned a blind eye to allegations of patently egregious misconduct by Mr. Wynn involving the Company, taking no action to protect the Company and its suitability for regulatory compliance and to discharge the directors' known fiduciary duties to the Company to do otherwise until the WSJ Report shed light to the public, and even then the Board is merely conducting an internal investigation. Additionally, the Board continued to approve of licensing applications to gambling authorities that omitted Wynn's conduct as the Board continued to take no action to investigate the allegations of egregious misconduct involving the Company.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

48.

- In the wake of the WSJ Report, the Board, saying it is "deeply committed to ensuring the safety and wellbeing" of all employees reportedly formed a special committee comprised of allegedly independent directors to investigate the allegations. An independent Board acting in good faith to protect the Company would have engaged a truly independent investigation. Defendant Mulroy will serve as chair of the special committee. Defendants Hagenbuch and Johnson will also serve on the special committee. The creation of a special committee in response to the WSJ Report evidences that the Wynn Board has not previously investigated allegations of Mr. Wynn's patently egregious misconduct involving the Company and knowingly turned a blind-eye as the directors, Mr. Wynn and Ms. Sinatra continued to reap substantial compensation and benefits at the Company's expense and the Company continued with a cancerous existential threat from its Chairman and CEO. 49. The Special Committee has hired O'Melveny & Myers LLP. O'Melveny said on
- February 2, 2018 it would create a telephonic and internet-based reporting system for current and former Wynn employees to provide information that could be relevant to the investigation of Wynn. Again, however, the Board will control this information.
- 50. On October 4, 2002, Wynn Resorts entered into an Employment Agreement with Mr. Wynn which contemplated Mr. Wynn's employment as the Company's Chief Executive Officer for a term of five (5) years (the "Employment Agreement"). Mr. Wynn's compensation under the Employment Agreement included, among other things, an annual base salary that increased from \$1,250,000 to \$2,750,000 per annum, a bonus that would be determined at the Board's discretion, a Company paid automobile and use of the Company's aircraft subject to a time-sharing agreement which required Mr. Wynn to reimburse the Company for the lesser of the direct costs incurred in using the aircraft or the amount required by applicable federal aviation regulations.

EGLET STPRINCE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 51. The Employment Agreement can be terminated upon the occurrence of certain events, including:
 - Written notice by the Company to Mr. Wynn of the termination of the Employment Agreement upon the discharge of Mr. Wynn for Cause; and
 - Written notice by the Company to Mr. Wynn of the termination of the Employment Agreement following a denial or revocation of any license, registration, permit or other approval issued by a Gaming Authority.
 - 52. The Employment Agreement defines a termination for Cause as including:
 - A willful and knowing material misrepresentation to the Board;
 - A willful violation of material policy of the Company, which does or could result in material harm to the Company's or the Company's reputation; and
 - Mr. Wynn's material violation of a statutory or common law duty of loyalty or fiduciary duty to the Company.
- 53. The Employment Agreement has been amended seven (7) times since the Employment Agreement was initially executed. These amendments have resulted in the following relevant changes to the terms of the Employment Agreement.
 - The Employment Agreement shall terminate on October 24, 2022;
 - Mr. Wynn's base salary is \$2,500,000 per annum;
 - Mr. Wynn shall be entitled to use the Company's aircraft without a requirement to reimburse the Company.
- 54. Under the terms of the Employment Agreement and the amendments thereto, if Mr. Wynn is terminated for losing a license with a gaming authority, the Company will be obligated to pay Mr. Wynn roughly \$110 million.
- 55. The Board has allowed and endorsed Mr. Wynn to continue in his positions as both Chairman and CEO and to reap the lavish compensation and benefits bestowed on him by the Board.

Defendants Concealed Wynn's Actions From Stockholders and Regulators And Promoted The Company's Controls and Themselves

- 56. The Wynn Resorts directors assured stockholders in seeking their votes to be elected to continue for another term on the Board and to justify the compensation and benefits they awarded themselves, that the Board and management was "committed to sound and effective corporate governance," as stated in the Wynn Resorts' 2017 Proxy Statement ("2017 Proxy"). Specifically, the Wynn Resorts Board touted that the "Company has established a comprehensive corporate governance framework, with policies and programs designed not only to satisfy the extensive regulatory requirements applicable" to the Company's business but also to build value for stockholders and promote the vitality of the Company for employees.
- 57. The Wynn Resorts Board referred to its extensive industry and regulatory experience to support its representation to the Company's stockholders that the Company had a comprehensive corporate governance and risk compliance programs to protect the Company. In fact, Miller's and Mulroy's biographies specifically highlight their experience with the Nevada Gaming Commission as reasons for their position on the Board Defendant Miller's position as Lead Independent Director.
- 58. In the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the 2017 Proxy highlighted the Company's recent expansion and expected future value from expansion as the reasons why the Company's Board and executives were entitled to their lavish compensation and benefits and continuation in their positions. According to the 2017 Proxy, the Compensation Committee viewed 2016 as a successful year in part due to the opening of the Cotai area of Macau Casino and significant investments designed to drive the Company's future growth. The key accomplishments for 2016 underscored by the Board included: (i) the recent opening of the Wynn Palace in Macau which generated in excess of \$100 million in its first 132 days of

operation and the Company expected to gain market share throughout 2017; and (ii) the commencement of construction activities on the Wynn Boston Harbor, which was scheduled to open in mid-2019.

- 59. The key accomplishments came at a great expense to and investment of capital by the Company. For the year ended December 31, 2016, Wynn Resorts spent over \$1.23 billion in capital expenditures ("CAPEX") to complete the Wynn Palace (\$838.3 million) and Wynn Boston Harbor (\$212.2 million). In 2015, Wynn Resorts spent \$1.57 billion in CAPEX to build the Wynn Palace. As of September 30, 2017, Wynn Boston Harbor has already accrued an additional \$650.3 million in CAPEX.
- 60. The Board, however, knowingly and intentionally did not employ the corporate governance controls and framework to investigate the allegations and protect the Company and hid Mr. Wynn's misconduct involving the Company from the stockholders and regulators. As discussed above, the Board knew of allegations of egregious misconduct by Mr. Wynn, yet did nothing to address the existential risks posed to the Company or to prevent Defendant Wynn from continuing with egregious misconduct. Moreover, as a result of the extensive industry experience highlighted in the 2017 Proxy, the Board knew that the allegations of patently egregious misconduct involving the Company detailed in the WSJ Report and previously known to the Board posed as an existential threat to the Company that could result in, among other things, the loss of current or rejection of future gaming licenses.
- 61. The Board failed to disclose to stockholders that all of future expansion and revenue touted in the 2017 Proxy was in jeopardy and may never be recovered, as discussed below, due to Mr. Wynn's conduct and the Board's failure to address or disclose the conduct to the licensing and gaming regulators.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendants' Knowing and Intentional Breaches of Fiduciary Duty Have Resulted in and **Exacerbated Injury to the Company**

- 62. As detailed below, Defendants' knowing and intentional breaches of duty have caused significant losses and harm to date, with the potential for more.
- 63. Shares of Wynn Resorts fell 10% on January 26, 2018, the day the WSJ Report was released. On the following Monday, shares fell an additional 9.3%.
- On January 30, 2018, Standard Poor's Global Rating revised its outlook for Wynn 64. Resorts to "negative" from "stable." The ratings downgrade reflected the "Significant uncertainty" over the resolution of the various investigations into Wynn's misconduct discussed below. The ratings agency further stated that the misconduct allegations "could impair the Company's brand and ability to maintain or review its gaming licenses."
- 65. Joseph Greff, an analyst with J.P. Morgan, projected shares could drop to around the \$150 level before the fallout is over. "Steve's name is on each one of his resorts in Las Vegas and Macau and therefore they are potentially susceptible to downward swings in patronage," Greff said in his report. "Such allegations (in the Journal article) can't be helpful to Wynn in competitive integrated resort license and development globally, such as in Japan, or in gaming license renewals in Macau and Nevada."
- 66. The WSJ Report has already triggered investigating by gaming regulators in Nevada, Massachusetts and Macau.
- 67. On January 30, 2018, after a preliminary review of the accusations against Mr. Wynn, the Nevada gambling regulators opened a formal investigation into the sexual-misconduct allegations. "Nevada regulators have a broad range of options when it comes to potential disciplining of a licensee," AG Burnett, former chairman of the Nevada Gaming Control Board, the state's main regulatory body, stated. "These include things like complaints, fines, and even

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

potentially revocation." Regulation 5, a chapter in Nevada casino law, says regulators must require that all establishments operate in a "manner suitable to protect the public health, safety, morals, good order and general welfare" of state inhabitants. In 2016, Nevada regulators required Lee Amaitis, the chief executive of CG technology, formerly known as Cantor Gaming, step down to settle claims that the sports betting company underpaid customers. The Nevada Gaming Control Board has the legal power to conduct an investigation and bring the results to the three-member board, who are gubernatorial appointees.

68. Gaming regulators in Massachusetts, where Wynn Resorts is building a \$2.4 billion property on Boston Harbor, are also investigating the allegations. Massachusetts gambling commissioners met on January 31, 2018 to discuss the allegations against Mr. Wynn. Wynn Resorts was granted a license based on a 2011 state law which required the gambling commission to consider the "integrity, honesty, good character and reputation of the applicant" when considering bids for a gambling license. The law also notes that licensees "shall have a continuing duty to maintain their integrity and financial stability." Elaine Driscoll, a state gambling-commission spokeswoman, said the 2005 settlement and the alleged sexual misconduct weren't reported by Wynn Resorts in its application for the license, awarded in September 2014. The Las Vegas Review-Journal reported that lawyers from Wynn resorts confirmed that the \$7.5 million settlement "was not disclosed to investigators on advice of counsel." This concession demonstrates that the Company, including Ms. Sinatra, knew of the allegations of egregious misconduct involving the Company and actively concealed it from the Massachusetts gaming regulators. Wynn Resorts was granted a 15-year license to operate the sole eastern Massachusetts resort casino license subject to a continuing duty to maintain integrity and suitability, which is now in jeopardy.

- 69. Ms. Driscoll said the gambling commission opens a review if it becomes aware through media reports, other regulators or other sources "that a licensee or qualifier's suitability should be reviewed." Massachusetts Governor Charlie Baker has called the allegations against Wynn "appalling and disgraceful," and has stated that he doesn't believe Wynn Resorts meets the state regulator's suitability standard, if the allegations are true. The Company's counsel stated that the \$7.5 million settlement was not disclosed in the licensing process because it was not requested.
- 70. The Boston project, scheduled to open in 2019, was expected to generate \$252 million in earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization for Wynn Resorts in the first full year of operation in 2020, equal to about 9% of earnings from the Company's casinos world-wide, according to J.P. Morgan estimates. The Company said it had incurred \$1.13 billion in total project costs, as of the end of last year.
- 71. Macau's government, through its Gaming Inspection and Coordination Bureau ("DICJ"), has met with local Wynn management to seek information. After the meeting the DICJ issued a statement stressing the importance of major shareholders, directors and key employees of casino operator meeting suitable qualifications. The Wynn Macau generates more than 70% of the Company's business.
- 72. On January 27, 2018, Mr. Wynn resigned as finance chairman for the Republican National Committee. The Republican Governors Association has said it will return the \$100,000 in donations it received over the past three years from Wynn Resorts.

DERIVATIVE ALLEGATIONS

73. Plaintiff brings this action derivatively in the right and for the benefit of Wynn Resorts to redress the knowing and intentional breaches of fiduciary duty and other violations of law by Defendants as alleged herein. Plaintiff is a current stockholder of the Company and was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

a stockholder during fiduciary misconduct alleged herein underlying the claims, and has held Wynn Resorts continuously. Plaintiff will continue to hold Wynn Resorts through the resolution of this action.

74. Plaintiff will adequately and fairly represent the interest of Wynn Resorts and its public stockholders in enforcing and prosecuting the Company's rights, and Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in prosecuting this type of derivative action.

DEMAND FUTILITY ALLEGATIONS

75. Plaintiff brings this action derivatively to redress injuries suffered by the Company as a direct result of the knowing and intentional breaches of fiduciary duty by all members of the Board. As of the date of the filing of this action, at least a majority of the ten current Board members are not disinterested and independent, as alleged below. As such, Plaintiff has not made a demand on the Board to bring suit asserting the claims set forth herein because pre-suit demand would have been futile as a majority of the Board is not disinterested and independent, thus, is excused as a matter of law.

Demand Is Futile as to All of the Defendants Because Their Actions and Inactions Constituted Knowing and Intentional Breaches of Duty for Which They Face a Substantial Likelihood of Liability

76. As a result of Director Defendants' bad faith intentional misconduct, as described herein, a majority of the Board knowingly and intentionally breached their fiduciary duties to the Company and did not exercise good faith proper business judgment to protect the best interests of the Company by knowingly and intentionally disregarding, failing to investigate and concealing the allegations of patently egregious misconduct by the Chairman and CEO involving the Company, faces a substantial likelihood of personal liability for knowing and intentional breaches of duty and is therefore unable to independently investigate or prosecute the claims alleged herein on behalf of the Company.

77. Defendants knowingly and intentionally violated their fiduciary duties by, among other things, knowingly and intentionally failing to act in the face and with knowledge of credible allegations of patently egregious and pervasive misconduct by the Company's founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, involving the Company, and involving the Company's regulatory compliance and suitability. The Board's knowing and intentional misconduct constituted knowing and intentional fiduciary misconduct and violation of law for which Defendants have personal non-exculpated liability under Nevada law.

Demand Is Futile as to All Defendants Because they Lack Independence from Stephen Wynn, Who Is Interested and Who Dominates and Controls the Board

- 78. Demand is further excused because a majority of the Board is not independent. An examination of the Board at Wynn Resorts explains why the Board took no action in the face of this crisis. A majority of the Board members are beholden to Mr. Wynn or have a close personal relationship with Mr. Wynn and have a history of acquiescence to Mr. Wynn's. This lack of independence is further evidenced by their knowing and intentional failure to investigate and knowing and intentional concealment of alleged egregious misconduct involving the Company which was obviously in violation of their duties as fiduciaries.
- 79. The Company's proxy statement, filed March 10, 2017, acknowledges that Defendants Mr. Wynn and Randt are not independent directors because they do not meet the independence criteria of the NASDAQ listing standards. Director independence under NASDAQ and under Nevada demand futility law is virtually identical. Therefore, the Company has essentially conceded that demand is futile as to Defendants Wynn and Randt.
- 80. The principal professional occupation of Mr. Wynn is his employment with Wynn Resorts, pursuant to which he has received and continues to receive substantial monetary compensation and other benefits. Accordingly, Mr. Wynn lacks independence from the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

remaining members of the Board due to his interest in maintaining his executive positions at Wynn Resorts and avoiding personal legal exposure. This lack of independence renders defendant Mr. Wynn incapable of impartially considering a demand to commence and vigorously prosecute this action. In 2016, Mr. Wynn Resorts paid Mr. Wynn \$28,156,985 in total compensation.

81. Wynn Resorts is run and dominated by Mr. Wynn and all the directors are loyal to him. As a former Board member explains, "Mr. Wynn has run Wynn Resorts as a personal fiefdom, packing the Board with friends who do his personal bidding, and paying key executives exorbitant amounts for their unwavering fealty." Mr. Wynn's domination over the Wynn Resorts' Board is evidenced by, among other things, a longstanding voting agreement dating back to April 2002 that ensures that a majority of the Wynn Resorts' Board would be comprised of candidates specifically chosen by Mr. Wynn. On January 6, 2010, Mr. Wynn, Elaine Wynn and Kazou Okada agreed "to vote all Shares held by them... in a manner so as to elect to Wynn [Resorts'] Board of Directors each of the nominees contained on each and every slate of directors endorsed by SAW [Wynn]." As a result of this agreement, no person can serve on the Wynn Resorts' Board unless they are chosen by Stephen Wynn, even though the Board's Nominating and Governance Committee is ostensibly charged with choosing candidates for Wynn Resorts' Board. Each member of the Board has been hand-picked by Mr. Wynn, is virtually guaranteed election to the Board by virtue of the voting agreement and, therefore, is beholden to Mr. Wynn for his or her nomination and selection to the Board and will not take action against him. Elaine Wynn has corroborated the control that Mr. Wynn has over the Board when she stated: "The Wynn Board may be the most compliant board of any major public company...In only three instances in the history of the Company has a director voted against Mr. Wynn's position on any issue."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

82. The table below summarizes the substantial total compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to each of the non-employee directors for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016.

Name	Fees Earned or id in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Option Awards (\$) (2)(3)	Con	All Other npensation (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Total (\$)
John J. Hagenbuch	\$ 144,000	\$249,963		\$	7,010	\$400,973
Dr. Ray R. Irani	\$ 105,433	\$249,963		\$	7,010	\$362,406
Jay L. Johnson (5)	\$ 31,935		\$349,000			\$380,935
Robert J. Miller (6)	\$ 261,000	\$249,963		\$	7,010	\$517,973
Patricia Mulroy	\$ 118,500	\$249,963		\$	3,839	\$372,302
Clark T. Randt, Jr.	\$ 73,500	\$249,963	_	\$	3,839	\$327,302
Alvin V. Shoemaker	\$ 127,500	\$249,963	_	\$	7,010	\$384,473
J. Edward Virtue	\$ 127,500	\$249,963		\$	7,010	\$384,473
D. Boone Wayson	\$ 127,500	\$249,963	_	\$	7,010	\$384,473

- 83. Mr. Wynn was personally responsible for the alleged patently egregious and intentional fiduciary misconduct and therefore lacks disinterestedness because he faces a substantial likelihood of liability for these intentional breaches of fiduciary duty and violations of law.
- 84. A majority of the Board lacks independence from Wynn because of a multitude of interrelated business, professional, and personal relationships that will prevent (and have previously prevented) the Defendants from taking the necessary and proper action on behalf of Indeed, the history of many of Wynn's relationships with Defendants Wynn Resorts. demonstrates his ability to use his power and wealth to control them and others.

Defendant Hagenbuch

85. Defendant Hagenbuch could not comply with the fiduciary duties to independently consider a pre-suit demand to bring the claims alleged herein because he was hand-picked by Mr. Wynn, who is directly implicated in the improper and illegal acts giving rise

to this complaint, to serve as a director of the Company. Because Defendant Hagembuch was personally selected for board membership by Mr. Wynn, he is incapable of exercising independent objective judgment in deciding whether to bring this action. Moreover, in the April 2015 proxy fights, Mr. Wynn supported Hagenbuch for reelection over Elaine Wynn. Hagenbuch was ultimately reelected while Elaine Wynn lost her seat.

Defendant Irani

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 86. Defendant Irani could not comply with the fiduciary duties to independently consider a pre-suit demand to bring the claims alleged herein because he was hand-picked by Mr. Wynn, who is directly implicated in the improper and illegal acts giving rise to this complaint, to serve as a director of the Company. Because Defendant Irani was personally selected for board membership by Mr. Wynn, he is incapable of exercising independent objective judgment in deciding whether to bring this action.
- 87. Defendant Irani has been a member of the Wynn Resorts Board since October 2007. During that same time period, Irani served as a director of TCW Group, Inc., a subsidiary of SocieteGenerale, a company that was instrumental in arranging and providing funding to Wynn Resorts for its Macau facilities. For example, SocieteGenerale served as the lead arranger for Wynn Macau's \$1.55 billion financing in June, 2007.
- 88. In March 1987, Defendant Irani joined the board of directors for the parent company of Mr. Wynn's resort-casinos and served until that company was bought out in May 2000.

Defendant Johnson

89. Defendant Johnson could not comply with the fiduciary duties to independently consider a pre-suit demand to bring the claims alleged herein because he was hand-picked by Mr. Wynn, who is directly implicated in the improper and illegal acts giving rise to this

24

25

26

27

28

complaint, to serve as a director of the Company. Because Defendant Johnson was personally selected for board membership by Mr. Wynn, he is incapable of exercising independent objective judgment in deciding whether to bring this action.

Defendant Miller

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

90. Defendant Miller's longstanding relationship with Wynn also strongly supports the inference that Wynn has the ability to control Defendant Miller. Further, Miller's strong and direct business ties to Wynn Resorts renders him an interested directors. From November 2004 to at least September 20, 2012, Defendant Miller is or was a partner in Nevada Rose, LLC, the parent company to a group of companies engaged in importing and selling rose nectar, including in Macau. Products imported by Nevada Rose, LLC are used at Wynn Resorts giving Miller a material financial interest in the business relationship. From 2000 to at least September 20, 2012, Defendant Miller has been a director of International Gaming Technology ("IGT"), a Las Vegas corporation that engages in the design, development, manufacture, and marketing of casino games, gaming equipment, and systems technology for land-based and on-line social gaming and wagering markets worldwide. IGT and Wynn Resorts have a long-standing and ongoing business relationship. For example, in 2005, an estimated 70% of the Wynn Las Vegas gaming floor was comprised of IGT machines. More recently, Wynn voluntarily interjected himself into an IGT proxy fight in defense of ex-IGT CEO Charles Mathewson. In February 2013, Wynn issued a statement in support of Mathewson, professing that Wynn has "known Mathewson as a businessman and friend for over 30 years." Mr. Wynn said his casinos bought slot machines from IGT during Mathewson's 17 years as Chairman and CEO, during which time Defendant Miller was a director. IGT expanded into the Macau gaming market around the same time Wynn Resorts expanded into that very same market. In November 2005, Wynn Macau reported running an IGT casino system: Wynn Macau had "chosen MICros opera Enterprise as

its hotel solution with OPERA Property Management and OPERA Gaming Integration to its IGT casino system." Given Miller's direct investment in Wynn Macau through IGT, and given that IGT provided the casino system for Wynn Macau, Miller has a financial interest and conflicting fiduciary duties in decisions exposing Mr. Wynn to personal liability and sanctions.

- 91. Additionally, multiple accounts support the conclusion that Mr. Wynn played a significant role in Miller's political success. For example, Miller's 1994 primary challenger in the 1994 Nevada gubernatorial election, Las Vega Mayor Jan Laverty Jones, said that in 1993 Wynn "tried to discourage her" from challenging Miller in a primary. When she reminded Wynn that she and Wynn had been friends for a long time, he replied saying "yes, and we will continue to be [friends] unless your run against Bob Miller." She eventually lost to Miller by a wide margin in the primary. Thirteen of the fifteen top contributors to Miller's 1994 reelection campaign were (mostly Las Vegas-based) casino companies or their top executives. Wynn alone donated \$70,000, exploiting a loophole across four subsidiaries. Ultimately, the gaming industry as a whole accounted for 54% and 43% of Miller's gubernatorial campaign funds in 1990 and 1994 runs, respectively. Defendant Miller ultimately won the 1994 gubernatorial election.
- 92. In 1997, Defendant Miller testified at a trial in a libel case Mr. Wynn had brought against the author of an unauthorized biography. In his testimony, Miller described himself as "a 23 year friend of Wynn's." Miller and Mr. Wynn's close relationship thus dates back more than 40 years.

Defendant Mulroy

93. Defendant Mulroy could not comply with the fiduciary duties to independently consider a pre-suit demand to bring the claims alleged herein because she was hand-picked by Mr. Wynn, who is directly implicated in the improper and illegal acts giving rise to this complaint, to serve as a director of the Company. Because Defendant Mulroy was personally

selected for board membership by Mr. Wynn, she is incapable of exercising independent objective judgment in deciding whether to bring this action.

Defendant Randt

94. As discussed above, Defendant Randt is not an independent director because he does not meet the independence criteria of the NASDAQ listing standards. Additionally, Defendant Randt could not comply with the fiduciary duties to independently consider a pre-suit demand to bring the claims alleged herein because he was hand-picked by Mr. Wynn, who is directly implicated in the improper and illegal acts giving rise to this complaint, to serve as a director of the Company. Because Defendant Randt was personally selected for board membership by Mr. Wynn, he is incapable of exercising independent objective judgment in deciding whether to bring this action.

Defendant Shoemaker

- 95. Defendant Shoemaker could not comply with the fiduciary duties to independently consider a pre-suit demand to bring the claims alleged herein because he was hand-picked by Mr. Wynn, who is directly implicated in the improper and illegal acts giving rise to this complaint, to serve as a director of the Company. Because Defendant Shoemaker was personally selected for board membership by Mr. Wynn, he is incapable of exercising independent objective judgment in deciding whether to bring this action.
- 96. Defendant Shoemaker and Mr. Wynn also have a long-standing relationship. From 1986 to 1994, Shoemaker served with Mr. Wynn on the University of Pennsylvania Board of Trustees. Shoemaker was Chair of the University of Pennsylvania Board of Trustees when Wynn was appointed to the Board in June 1994. Both Mr. Wynn and Defendant Shoemaker are graduates of the University of Pennsylvania. From 2009 until at least February 10, 2013, Defendant Shoemaker served as Honorary Counsel for the Sun Valley Summer Symphony (the

"Symphony") and on April 16, 2008, the Stephen Wynn Foundation contributed \$50,000 in grants to the Symphony.

Defendant Virtue

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 97. Defendant Virtue could not comply with the fiduciary duties to independently consider a pre-suit demand to bring the claims alleged herein because he was hand-picked by Wynn, who is directly implicated in the improper and illegal acts giving rise to this complaint, to serve as a director of the Company. Because Defendant Virtue was personally selected for board membership by Wynn, he is incapable of exercising independent objective judgment in deciding whether to bring this action.
- 98. Defendant Virtue was nominated to the Wynn Resorts' Board on September 20, 2012. Mr. Wynn recommended Virtue to the Nominating Committee. Moreover, in the April 2015 proxy fight, Wynn supported Virtue for reelection over Elaine Wynn. ultimately reelected while Elaine Wynn lost her seat. Virtue has both financial and business ties to Wynn. In February 2003, Virtue formed MidOcean Partners when he led a management buyout of 80% of DB Capital, Deutsche Bank's private equity arm. Virtue had been head of Corporate Investments at Deutsche Bank until the buy-out by MidOcean Partners and until February 20, 2003. Deutsche Bank retained a 20% interest in MidOcean Partners portfolio. Despite his separation from Deutsche Bank at the time, Virtue remained connected to Deutsche Bank through business transactions. For instance, an amended agreement for consulting services between MidOcean and one of its portfolio companies reported that Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas was the administrative agent for the agreement and Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc. was a co-lead arranger and book runner. Wynn and Elaine Wynn invested in MidOcean Partners with Virtue. As noted above, Deutsche Bank retained a 20% interest in MidOcean Partners at the time of the leveraged buy-out in February 2003 and Deutsche Bank

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

continued to play a critical role in financing Wynn Resorts thereafter. On October 5, 2012, Wynn Las Vegas LLC and Wynn Las Vegas Capital Corp. reported,

> With respect to Mr. Virtue, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of Wynn Resorts considered that Mr. Wynn, Ms. Wynn, and Messrs. Schorr, Maddox and Strzemp had invested in MidOcean Partners, a private investment firm of which Mr. Virtue serves as Chief Executive Officer.

- 99. One of the conditions of Virtue joining the Board was for the Wynn Resorts insiders to close certain accounts at MidOcean which generated fees for Virtue. However, Virtue's interest in fees from these accounts continued almost to the date he joined the Board, when, seemingly in return for lost fees as a result of the closed accounts, he was granted options for 10,000 shares of Wynn Resorts having a value of well over \$1 million.
- 100. Additionally, Wynn Resorts received financing from Deutsche Bank and its affiliates while Virtue was employed with Deutsche Bank and DB Capital Partners from June 1999 to February 2003. During that timeframe, Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, an affiliate of Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc. was the administrative agent under a \$1.05 billion credit facility entered into by Wynn Las Vegas, LLC and certain of its subsidiaries on October 20, 2001.

Defendant Wayson

- 101. Defendant Wayson could not comply with the fiduciary duties to independently consider a pre-suit demand to bring the claims alleged herein because he was hand-picked by Wynn, who is directly implicated in the improper and illegal acts giving rise to this complaint, to serve as a director of the Company.
- 102. Wynn's relationship with Defendant Wayson is so close, they are nearly family. Wayson's father and Wynn's father had a business relationship in the 1950s, when they operated a bingo hall together in Wayson's Corner, Maryland. Defendant Wayson's brother and sister,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Edward O. Wayson and Sarah Wayson, have also worked with Wynn throughout the years-Edward served as Wynn's legal advisor for many years and Sarah worked as a spokesperson for Wynn. Defendant Wayson has worked together with Mr. Wynn at Wynn-controlled enterprises for many years, over several decades, pursuant to which he has received substantial monetary compensation and benefits. Specifically, Wayson worked for Mr. Wynn as the President and CEO of the Golden Nugget in Atlantic City from December 1984 to February 1987. Mr. Wynn was the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Mirage Resorts, Inc. and its predecessor Golden Nugget, Inc. between 1973 and 2000. Defendant Wayson served as a director of Mirage Resorts, Inc. from 1987 to 2000. Defendant Wayson worked with Mr. Wynn in his prior leadership role at Mirage Resorts, even when appearances seemed that Mr. Wynn was "running Mirage Resorts... as if it were his private empire." (Vanity Fair, June 2005).

- 103. As a result of familial or longstanding business or personal ties and loyalty to Mr. Wynn, further evidenced by their knowing and intentional failure to investigate and concealment of the patently egregious misconduct involving the Company, a majority of the Board is conflicted, and cannot be expected to act in a disinterested or independent manner.
- 104. Pre-suit demand is excused because a majority of the Board faces a substantial likelihood of liability for abdicating their responsibility to exercise oversight of Wynn Resorts, the settlement of claims of sexual misconduct relating to the conduct of Wynn, and the continuing misconduct.
- 105. The Board had knowledge of the allegations of egregious misconduct and/or ignored credible "red flags" that should have alerted them to egregious wrongdoing and existential risk to the Company.
- 106. For all the above reasons, demand upon the Board would be futile and is therefore excused.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

COUNT I BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY (AGAINST STEPHEN A. WYNN)

- 107. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 108. Stephen A. Wynn is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and a director of Wynn Resorts and, as such, owed and continues to owe Plaintiff and Wynn Resorts the highest obligation of due care, loyalty, and good faith under Nevada law.
- 109. By reason of the foregoing, Stephen Wynn knowingly and intentionally breached his fiduciary duties by engaging in a pattern of intentional egregious misconduct and violations of law involving Wynn Resorts.
 - 110. Plaintiff and the Company have no adequate remedy at law.
- 111. As a result of the intentional fiduciary misconduct alleged herein, Stephen Wynn is liable to the Company for the damages resulting directly and proximately from his breaches of fiduciary duty.
- 112. As a direct and proximate result of all the foregoing and as a result of the acts and/or omissions of Defendants, the Company has sustained damage in an amount in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00).
- 113. That at all times mentioned herein, Defendants, and each of them, acted with fraud, oppression, and/or malice toward the Company, exhibited an intention and willingness to injure the Company and/or a conscious disregard for the rights and safety of the Company, and each Defendant, should be punished and made an example of by imposition of punitive or exemplary damages in an amount in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

114. It has been necessary for Plaintiff to retain attorneys to represent them and to bring this action, and Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorney's fees and costs incurred herein.

COUNT II BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY (AGAINST KIMMARIE SINATRA)

- 115. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 116. Kimmarie Sinatra is Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of Wynn Resorts and, as such, owed and continues to owe Plaintiff and Wynn Resorts the highest obligation of due care, loyalty, and good faith under Nevada law.
- 117. By reason of the foregoing, Kimmarie Sinatra knowingly and intentionally breached her fiduciary duties by concealing and failing to police, investigate and act as the Company's chief legal officer to address the known credible allegations of intentional egregious misconduct and violations of law by Mr. Wynn involving Wynn Resorts. Sinatra's breaches involved intentional misconduct and violations of law for which she is liable and not-exculpated under Nevada law.
 - 118. Plaintiff and the Company have no adequate remedy at law.
- 119. As a result of the misconduct alleged herein, Kimmarie Sinatra is liable to the Company for the damages resulting directly and proximately from her breaches of fiduciary duty.
- 120. As a direct and proximate result of all the foregoing and as a result of the acts and/or omissions of Defendants, the Company has sustained damage in an amount in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00).
- 121. That at all times mentioned herein, Defendants, and each of them, acted with fraud, oppression, and/or malice toward the Company, exhibited an intention and willingness to

injure the Company and/or a conscious disregard for the rights and safety of the Company, and each Defendant, should be punished and made an example of by imposition of punitive or exemplary damages in an amount in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00).

122. It has been necessary for Plaintiff to retain attorneys to represent them and to bring this action, and Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorney's fees and costs incurred herein.

COUNT III BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY (AGAINST DIRECTOR DEFENDANTS IN THEIR CAPACITY AS DIRECTORS)

- 123. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 124. Defendants Hagenbuch, Irani, Johnson, Miller, Mulroy, Randt, Shoemaker, Virtue and Wayson owed and owe fiduciary duties to Wynn Resorts and its stockholders. By reason of their fiduciary relationships, Hagenbuch, Irani, Johnson, Miller, Mulroy, Randt, Shoemaker, Virtue and Wayson specifically owed and continue to owe Plaintiff and Wynn Resorts the highest obligation of due care, loyalty, and good faith in the administration of the affairs of the Company, including, without limitation, the oversight of Wynn Resorts' compliance with and the duty to conduct a good faith investigation into known violations of laws, regulations, and internal policies concerning sexual harassment.
- 125. Defendants Hagenbuch, Irani, Johnson, Miller, Mulroy, Randt, Shoemaker, Virtue and Wayson knowingly and intentionally breached their fiduciary duties and violated their corporate responsibilities by, among other actions, failing to investigate and obviate the existential risk and injury posed to the Company thereby permitting Mr. Wynn's egregious misconduct involving the Company to continue and the Company to commit to substantial capital projects jeopardized by the Company's and Mr. Wynn's true suitability for a regulated

Γ
\cup
Z
\simeq
7
W
Ţ
LEJ
Ţ
Щ

business. These breaches of fiduciary duty involved intentional misconduct and violations of law.

- 126. Plaintiff and the Company have no adequate remedy at law.
- 127. As a result of Hagenbuch, Irani, Johnson, Miller, Mulroy, Randt, Shoemaker, Virtue and Wayson's knowingly and intentionally breached of fiduciary duties, the Company has suffered and will continue to suffer harm, as alleged herein.
- 128. As a direct and proximate result of all the foregoing and as a result of the acts and/or omissions of Defendants, the Company has sustained damage in an amount in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00).
- 129. That at all times mentioned herein, Defendants, and each of them, acted with fraud, oppression, and/or malice toward the Company, exhibited an intention and willingness to injure the Company and/or a conscious disregard for the rights and safety of the Company, and each Defendant, should be punished and made an example of by imposition of punitive or exemplary damages in an amount in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00).
- 130. It has been necessary for Plaintiff to retain attorneys to represent them and to bring this action, and Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorney's fees and costs incurred herein.

COUNT IV UNJUST ENRICHMENT (AGAINST STEPHEN A. WYNN AND KIMMARIE SINATRA)

- 131. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 132. By their wrongful acts and omissions, Defendants Wynn and Sinatra have been unjustly enriched at the expense of, and to the detriment of, Wynn Resorts.

Щ	10
\bigcirc	11
	12
PR	13
V.	14
	15
H	16
TE	17
EG	18
	19

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Defendants Wynn and Sinatra have been unjustly enriched as a result of the 133. compensation and perquisites they received while breaching their fiduciary duties owed to Wynn Resorts.
- 134. Plaintiff, as a stockholder and representative of Wynn Resorts, seeks restitution and disgorgement from Defendants Wynn and Sinatra and seeks an order from this Court directing disgorgement of all profits, benefits, and enrichment and other compensation obtained by Defendants Wynn and Sinatra from their wrongful conduct and fiduciary breaches.
 - 135. Plaintiff, on behalf of Wynn Resorts, has no adequate remedy at law.
- 136. As a direct and proximate result of all the foregoing and as a result of the acts and/or omissions of Defendants, the Company has sustained damage in an amount in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00).
- That at all times mentioned herein, Defendants, and each of them, acted with 137. fraud, oppression, and/or malice toward the Company, exhibited an intention and willingness to injure the Company and/or a conscious disregard for the rights and safety of the Company, and each Defendant, should be punished and made an example of by imposition of punitive or exemplary damages in an amount in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00).
- 138. It has been necessary for Plaintiff to retain attorneys to represent them and to bring this action, and Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorney's fees and costs incurred herein.

COUNT V **UNJUST ENRICHMENT** (AGAINST ALL DIRECTOR DEFENDANTS)

139. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth herein.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- By their wrongful acts and omissions, Defendants Hagenbuch, Irani, Johnson, 140. Miller, Mulroy, Randt, Shoemaker, Virtue and Wayson were unjustly enriched at the expense of, and to the detriment of, Wynn Resorts.
- Defendants Hagenbuch, Irani, Johnson, Miller, Mulroy, Randt, Shoemaker, 141. Virtue and Wayson were unjustly enriched as a result of the compensation and benefits they received while breaching their fiduciary duties owed to Wynn Resorts and representations that the Company's compliance and risk controls were extensive and effective.
- 142. Plaintiff, as a stockholder and representative of Wynn Resorts, seeks restitution and disgorgement from Defendants Hagenbuch, Irani, Johnson, Miller, Mulroy, Randt, Shoemaker, Virtue and Wayson and seeks an order from this Court disgorging all profits, benefits, and other compensation obtained by these Defendants from their wrongful conduct and fiduciary breaches.
 - 143. Plaintiff, on behalf of Wynn Resorts, has no adequate remedy at law.
- 144. As a direct and proximate result of all the foregoing and as a result of the acts and/or omissions of Defendants, the Company has sustained damage in an amount in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00).
- 145 That at all times mentioned herein, Defendants, and each of them, acted with fraud, oppression, and/or malice toward the Company, exhibited an intention and willingness to injure the Companyand/or a conscious disregard for the rights and safety of the Company, and each Defendant, should be punished and made an example of by imposition of punitive or exemplary damages in an amount in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00).
- 146. It has been necessary for Plaintiff to retain attorneys to represent them and to bring this action, and Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorney's fees and costs incurred herein.

EGLET TPRINCE

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment as follows:

- (a) Declaring that this action is a proper derivative action maintainable under Nevada law and demand was excused;
- (b) Finding that the members of the Board have knowingly and intentionally breached their fiduciary duties to Wynn Resorts;
- (c) Finding that Stephen A. Wynn knowingly and intentionally breached his fiduciary duties as an officer and director;
- (d) Finding that Kimmarie Sinatra knowingly and intentionally breached her fiduciary duties as an officer;
- (e) Against all Defendants and in favor of the Company for the amount of any and all damages sustained by the Company and unjust profits obtained from the Company as a result of Defendants' breaches of fiduciary duty, plus pre- and post-judgment interest in the maximum amount allowed by law;
- (f) Against all Defendants and in favor of the Company for extraordinary equitable and injunctive relief as permitted by law and/or equity, plus pre- and post-judgment interest;
- (g) Directing the Company to take all necessary actions to reform and improve its internal controls and Board oversight concerning sexual harassment;
- (h) Awarding Plaintiff the cost and disbursements of this action, including reasonable attorneys' and expert's fees; and

| ..

|| . . .

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

-	٠.		• , 1
- (11) Granting such other and further relief as the Court de	ems flist and proper
١,	1,	granting such other and rarmer rener as the court ac	cilis just alla proper

Dated: February 6, 2018

EGLET TRINCE

ROBERT T. EGLET, P.C. ROBERT M. ADAMS, ESQ. 400 S. 7th Street, Suite 400 Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 450-5400 eservice@egletlaw.com

CHIMICLES & TIKELLIS LLP

ROBERT J. KRINER, JR., ESQ. SCOTT M. TUCKER, ESQ. CHIMICLES & TIKELLIS LLP 222 Delaware Avenue Suite 1100 P.O. Box 1035 Wilmington, DE 19899 Phone: 302-656-2500 Fax: 302-656-9053 rjk@chimicles.com

and

smt@chimicles.com

NICHOLAS E. CHIMICLES, ESQ. 361 West Lancaster Avenue One Haverford Centre Haverford, PA 19041 Phone: 610-642-8500 Fax: 610-649-3633 nec@chimicles.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff by and through their attorneys of record hereby demands a jury trial of all of the

Dated: February 6, 2018

issues in the above matter.

EGLET PRINCE

ROBERT T. EGLET, E.C. ROBERT M. ADAMS, ESQ. 400 S. 7th Street, Suite 400 Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 450-5400 eservice@egletlaw.com

CHIMICLES & TIKELLIS LLP

ROBERT J. KRINER, JR., ESQ. SCOTT M. TUCKER, ESQ. 222 Delaware Avenue Suite 1100 P.O. Box 1035 Wilmington, DE 19899 Phone: 302-656-2500 Fax: 302-656-9053

Fax: 302-656-9053 rjk@chimicles.com smt@chimicles.com

and

NICHOLAS E. CHIMICLES, ESQ. 361 West Lancaster Avenue One Haverford Centre Haverford, PA 19041 Phone: 610-642-8500 Fax: 610-649-3633 nec@chimicles.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

NORFOLK COUNTY RETIREMENT)	
SYSTEM, derivatively on behalf of WYNN)	CASE NO.
RESORTS LIMITED,	ĺ	DEPT. NO.
,	í	
Plaintiffs,	ĺ	
v.)	
)	
STEPHEN A. WYNN, JOHN J. HAGENBUCH,)	
RAY R. IRANI, JAY L. JOHNSON, ROBERT J.)	
MILLER, PATRICIA MULROY, CLARK T.)	
RANDT, JR., ALVIN V. SHOEMAKER, J.)	
EDWARD VIRTUE AND D. BOONE)	
WAYSON, KIM SINATRA)	
)	
Defendants,)	
entervision de la reconstrucción de la reconstrucci	Í	
And	ś	
	í	9
WYNN RESORTS, LIMITED,	ś	
,	í	
Nominal Defendant	Ć	

VERIFICATION OF JAMES E, TIMILTY IN SUPPORT OF DERIVATIVE COMPLAINT OF NORFOLK COUNTY RETIREMENT SYSTEM PURSUANT TO THE NEVADA RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 23.1

Commonwealth of Massachusetts)
) S. S.
County of Norfolk)

James E. Timilty, being of full age, having been duly sworn according to law, upon his oath, deposes and says:

- I am the Chairman of the Board for the Norfolk County Retirement System ("Norfolk County"), plaintiff in the above captioned matter.
- 2. As stated in the complaint, Norfolk County is and has been has been a stockholder of Wynn Resorts, Limited continuously at the time of fiduciary wrongdoing and

breaches underlying the claims alleged, and will continue to hold Wynn Resorts, Limited shares at all time relevant to this action.

- 3. I have read the complaint and consulted with counsel and the allegations therein are true based upon my personal knowledge, except for those matters set forth upon information and belief, in which case I believe them to be true.
- 4. I hereby declare under penalty and perjury that the foregoing is true and correct,

NORFOLK COUNTY RETIREMENT SYSTEM

By:

Chairman of the Board

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED before me this 6th day of February, 2018.

Notary:

LORI A. BELLOTTI
Notary Public
Commenwealth of Massachusette
My Commission Expires March 2, 2023